FACT SHEET

Lessons Learnt and Conclusions

Districts at the top of the DLT are doing twice as well as the lowest ranked Districts. While this gap in equity has narrowed since last year, this is due to the fact that a few of the low ranking Districts have improved their scores often just in one area.

In this year's DLT, the issue of inequity within regions stands out. In regions such as the Northern Region, or Upper West, some Districts are doing very well (better than most in wealthier Regions) alongside several Districts that rank very low. Inequality is no longer a straightforward story about Ghana's wealthier south and its consistently poor north. We are now faced with significant within-region inequality, which, can be greater than national inequality as a whole.

As noted last year, there is much that Government and other stakeholders can do to address such inequities by better targeting resources. Citizens and civil society can also use the results of the DLT to discuss why their District ranks as it does. The Government of Ghana could use the DLT in their formula for allocating financing from the Common Fund.

Secondly, last year's DLT highlighted major weaknesses in the accessibility of Ghana's data and

its communication to wider audiences. This situation has not changed. No sector provides annual, upto-date District data in public documents or online meaning that citizens, media, and Government staff are inadequately informed.

Lastly, this year's analysis of the DLT highlights increasing dissatisfaction with the range of District indicators available in Ghana. Some indicators, like sanitation and governance, need to become more detailed. Other major issues are entirely absent as they are not monitored at the District level at all, such as child protection.

UNICEF and CDD Ghana will continue the annual compilation and dissemination of the DLT in the years to come. In this way, it will be possible to continue to track which Districts make the biggest improvements each year, and to use the awareness and dialogue which will be promoted this year's DLT to increase accountability for promoting equitable development across Ghana.

For more information, please contact UNICEF Ghana's Policy Team (0302772524 or 773583) or CDD Ghana (0302776142 or 784293), or consult the DLT documentation on the webpages: http://www.cddqh.org/publications/Other-Publications







Ghana's District League Table 2015:

Strengthening Social Accountability for National Development

accountability for development across Ghana's 216 Districts so as to improve responsiveness in service delivery.



Introduction and background

In 2014, Ghana's first ever District League Table (DLT) was launched. It is a simple tool that ranks the level of development in each of Ghana's 216 Districts. Designed by UNICEF Ghana with the Ghana Centre for Democratic Development (CDD Ghana), in collaboration with the Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development, it became Ghana's first ever national tool for promoting social accountability in development. Citizens have the right to accountability for basic service delivery, while public actors have the obligation to be accountable for effective and efficient provision.

The DLT aims to increase social accountability for development across Ghana's 216 Districts so as to improve responsiveness in service delivery. It supports actors to be more aware of development levels across the country, track progress made, and improve people's access to information and knowledge on development rights in their Districts.

Now in its second year, the 2015 DLT uses the same methodology as last year. This enables us to compare and see which Districts have improved their ranking and which are suffering challenges. In 2014, several steps were undertaken to agree with key stakeholders which indicators should be used in the construction of the DLT's index. Numerous challenges were encountered regarding data – many indicators are not reliably estimated for the District level on an annual basis. In addition, available District indicators are not easily accessible, making local level planning and monitoring much harder.

The DLT aggregates development indicators in six key sectors: health, education, sanitation, water, security and governance. Within these

key sectors, the District indicators agreed upon are: BECE pass rates, skilled delivery at birth; rural water coverage; open defecation free (ODF) certification; police personnel coverage; and fulfilment of District administrative FOAT minimum conditions. Using global methodologies, these indicators are then averaged into a single index score for each District. This means that the DLT is only to be used to provide a holistic overview of District development, and not as a measurement of performance in an individual sector.

Government can use the DLT to better guide District level development; citizens can use it to call for improvements; media and civil society can use it to generate more informed debate on local development.

The agencies that contributed to the District League Table are gratefully recognised as: The Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development, Ministry of Education, Ghana Education Service, Ministry of Health, Ghana Health Services, Community Water and Sanitation Agency, Ghana Police Service, and Ghana Statistical Service.

The results

The results of the 2015 Ghana District League Table are shown below. This year, there is a new District topping the table; that of Tema Metropolitan, in the Greater Accra Region. Tema has a development level twice that of the lowest ranking District in this year's DLT: that of West Gomoa in 216th place. This year 105 Districts score below the national average score of 56, compared to 95 Districts that scored below the average last year meaning that less Districts are keeping pace with overall development.

Among the top Districts, the Ashanti and Brong Ahafo Regions figures most prominently. Amongst the bottom Districts, Volta and Northern regions stand out. This year, no District from any of the three northern regions is found in the top 20. Among the Districts at the bottom of the table, the Northern Region features the most prominently.

The most improved District between 2014 and 2015 was Kwaebibirem in Eastern Region. Overall, 98 Districts managed to improve their ranking in the DLT as compared to last year. Looking at rural versus urban differences, many of the Metropolitan and Municipal Districts rank higher than their rural counterparts in the same Region, although this is not consistently the case.



Ghana's District League Table 2015



Part													_				-					D-GHA		
No market problem Na 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	¥			A H	¥€	*		S	H H	¥4 4	¥		Ö	R X 5	¥		O	H	¥.	×		NO I	E E	¥3
No market problem Na 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	A S	DISTRICT	EG	l O	20 A	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	DISTRICT	A SEC	000	聚 2	A A N	DISTRICT	ZEG		A A	DISTRICT		၂ ၁၄	R)20	N N	DISTRICT	ÄEG		
1	1				20					25	07		DD	50 E 442	120				2 112					
Manuschische Manu	•	•																						
March Narbalagy	2		GA	76.4	100											J							+	
	3	Atwima Nwabiagya	AR	75.5	104																•			
Name	4	Bibiani Anhwiaso Bekwai	WR	72.8	5			_															+	
Marken South Mark	5	Awutu Senya	CR	70.0	8							•											+	
New	6	Jaman South	BR	69.7	4																			
Name of Marke	7	Atwima Kwanwoma	AR	69.5	10																			
Name	8	Nsawam-Adoagyiri Municipal	ER	69.4	2		·									•							+	
	9	Juabeso	WR	69.3	38																			
14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	10	Berekum Municipal	BR	69.3	6																			
Note	11		AR	69.3	12				_							·						_	_	
1	40	•									98	Abirem Municipal	CR	58.0 114										
1		•									99	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	CR	57.7 72										
		•						_			100	Ahafo Ano North											+	
1								_										_					+	
				_			· ·				102	Lawra	UW	57.3 88	144									
No companies No c											103	Kwaebibirem	ER	57.2 206	145	Anyigbe)	VR	53.0	169					
10 Soomhwe								_			104	Twifo Ati-Morkwa	CR	57.0 180	146	Central Tongu	VR	53.0	211					
10 Suryani Minicipal 10 St 17 St 18 St											105	Sekyere Kumawu			147	Ada West	GA	52.7	7 142					
2 Sulyana Municipal BR 67 2												•			148	Dormaa West	BR	52.5	5 122				+	
2 Sugarsage Municipal U S 7, 15 S 95 Sugarsage Municipal C S 6, 15 S 95 Sugarsage Municipal C S 8, 15 S 95 Sugarsage Municipal C S 8, 15 S 95 Sugarsage Municipal C S 95 S 95 S 95 Sugarsage Municipal C S 95 S		•													149	Tain	BR	52.2	2 121					
2 Upper Pankyria East Municipal GR 66.7 40 67 Upper Denkyria West Municipal GR 66.7 40 10 Upper Mu	21		UE	67.1	15										150	Banda								
2 Ashalman Municipal Result Re	22	Upper Denkyira East	CR	66.8	23	66									151	Sekyere Afram Plains South	AR	51.6	6 214		•			
	23	•	GΔ	66 7	40	67		_								•								
Name		·										•			153	Adentan Municipal						_		
Solution							•														· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
27 Bekwai Municipal AR 66.3 28 71 Sefwi Municipal WR 59.9 42 114 Bosome Freho AR 66.1 127 156 Atebubu-Amanthin BR 51.2 144 270 Defining South BR 67.7 29 T3 Kyone Katamanso GA 59.8 91 115 Mafatseman Municipal CR 51.8 143 157 Birlim South ER 51.1 158 20 Krachi East WR 44.0 20 154 Markanson Municipal CR 51.0 145 20 Markanson GA 59.8 91 115 Mafatseman Municipal CR 51.0 145 Sawla-Truna-Kalba NR 51.1 158 20 Krachi East WR 44.0 20 154 Markanson Municipal CR 51.0 145 Sawla-Truna-Kalba NR 51.1 158 Sawla-Truna-Kalba NR 5																				199				
28 Shai-Osudoku GA 65.9 46 72 Wassa Amenfi East WR 59.8 102 115 Matheman Municipal CR 55.8 113 157 Birim South ER 51.1 158 202 Krachi East WR 43.0 194 21 22 Akwain Municipal CR 57.0 130 Shair Munici		•						_								·								
Name																						_	_	
Second S																								
Separation Conting C																								
2 Mpohor WR 65.4 11 76 Ningo-Prampram GA 59.5 167 38 Bawku Municipal UE 65.3 21 77 Shama WR 59.5 116 120 Akwapim North Municipal ER 55.6 109 162 Dormaa East BR 50.5 174 34 Municipal MR 50.6 134 206 Adaklu VR 42.3 207 35 Sekyere South AR 65.2 19 79 Kassena Nankana Municipal UE 59.0 99 36 Wassa Amenfi West WR 65.1 70 80 Ga Central Municipal GA 59.0 140 37 Accra Metropolitan GA 65.0 35 81 Kwahu South ER 58.9 66 38 07 138		•										•												
Second S																	_						_	
Second Nation Municipal Second Nation Se																· ·								
Sekyere South AR 65.2 19 79 Kassena Nankana Municipal UE 59.0 99 79 79 Kassena Nankana Municipal UE 59.0 99 79 79 Kassena Nankana Municipal UE 59.0 99 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79					_															207		VR	42.	3 205
36 Wassa Amenfi West WR 65.1 70 80 Ga Central Municipal GA 59.0 140 123 Atiwa ER 55.4 123 166 Techiman North BR 50.3 171 210 Ekumfi CR 39.8 215 183 Pusiga Wassa Amenfi West Ng 64.6 47 41 Asante Akim Central Municipal AR 64.4 34 86											121	Municipal	ER	55.6 45		•				208		CR	41.	5 201
37 Accra Metropolitan GA 65.0 35 35 Offinso municipal AR 64.9 7 39 Tarkwa Nsuaem Municipal WR 64.7 32 40 Bole NR 64.6 47 41 Asante Akim Central Municipal AR 64.4 34 85 Adansi North AR 58.6 110 127 Ketu South VR 54.7 130 171 Ho West VR 49.6 177 215 Karaga NR 37.4 216 Karaga NR 37		•									122	Atwima Mponua	AR	55.5 83		•				209	•	VR	40	7 193
38 Offinso municipal AR 64.9 7 39 Tarkwa Nsuaem Municipal WR 64.7 32 40 Bole NR 64.6 47 Asante Akim Central Municipal AR 64.4 34 Asante Akim Central Municipal AR 64.4 34 AR 64.4 34 AR 64.4 34 AR 64.4 34 AR 64.6 47 41 Asante Akim Central Municipal AR 64.4 34 AR 64.4 34 AR 64.4 34 AR 64.4 34 AR 64.6 47 42 Sekondi-Takoradi Metropolitan WR 55.3 129 Metropolitan WR 39.5 202 Metropolitan WR 55.3 129 Metropolitan WR 39.5 202 Metropolitan WR 39.5 2															i —								-	
39 Tarkwa Nsuaem Municipal WR 64.7 32 40 Bole NR 64.6 47 41 Asante Akim Central Municipal AR 64.4 34 Manuficipal AR 64.4 34 Metropolitan WR 55.3 129 Metropolitan WR 55.5 126 Metropolitan WR 55.5						81	Kwahu South	ER	58.9	66														
40 Bole NR 64.6 47 Asante Akim Central Municipal AR 64.4 34 Birim Central Municipal ER 58.9 81 125 Ledzokuku-Krowor Municipal GA 55.2 156 169 North Tongu VR 49.9 152 213 Agona West Municipal CR 38.6 150 170 North Gonja NR 49.7 133 214 Wa East UW 37.6 209 171 Ho West VR 49.6 177 215 Karaga NR 37.4 216		•				82	Jasikan	VR	58.9	84	124	Metropolitan	WR	55.3 129							·			
Asante Akim Central Municipal AR 64.4 34 85 Adansi North AR 58.6 110 127 Ketu South VR 54.7 130 171 Ho West VR 49.6 177 215 Karaga NR 37.4 216						83	Pusiga	UE	58.9	78	125	Ledzokuku-Krowor Municipal	GA	55.2 156		•					•			
Asante Akim Central Municipal AR 64.4 34 85 Adansi North AR 58.6 110 127 Ketu South VR 54.7 130 171 Ho West VR 49.6 177 215 Karaga NR 37.4 216	40		NR	64.6	47	84	Birim Central Municipal	ER	58.9	81	126	Wa West	UW	55.0 126		· ·					•			
in the first term of the first	41		AR	64.4	34										110	•								
The one of the other of the other of the other of the other			AR	64.3	16																			
				- 113						•	120	Tracou Eust	****	37.1 171	112	oupe ouast metropolitari	OIL	→3.	1 100	210	Goilloa West	UK	JU.	200